arXiv:1610.04791v2 [math.RT] 21 Nov 2016

COCENTERS OF p-ADIC GROUPS, I: NEWTON DECOMPOSITION

XUHUA HE

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the Newton decomposition on a connected reductive p-adic group G. Based on it we give a nice decomposition on the cocenter of its Hecke algebra. Here we consider both the ordinary cocenter associated to the usual conjugation action on G and the twisted cocenter arising from the theory of twisted endoscopy.

We give an Iwahori-Matsumoto type presentation on the Newton components of the cocenter. Based on it, we prove a generalization of Howe's conjecture on the restriction of (both ordinary and twisted) invariant distributions. Finally we give an explicit description of the structure of the rigid cocenter.

Introduction

0.1. A basic philosophy in representation theory is that *characters tell all*. This is the case for finite groups. More precisely, let G be a finite group. Let $H = \mathbb{C}[G]$ be its group algebra and $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ be the Grothendieck group of finite dimensional complex representations of G. Then the trace map induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Tr} : \bar{H} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}(G)^*,$$

where $\bar{H} = H/[H, H]$ is the cocenter of H. In other words, for finite groups, the cocenter is "dual" to representations.

Now how about p-adic groups?

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a connected reductive group over a nonarchimedean local field F of arbitrary characteristic and $G = \mathbb{G}(F)$. Let H be the Hecke algebra of compactly supported, locally constant, $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on G. Let $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ be the Grothendieck group of smooth admissible complex representations of G. In [2] and [18], Bernstein, Deligne and Kazhdan established the duality between the cocenter and the representations of G in the following sense:

$$\mathrm{Tr} : \bar{H} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{R}(G)^*_{good},$$

where $\mathfrak{R}(G)^*_{good}$ is the space of "good forms" on $\mathfrak{R}(G)$. Such relation is further studied by Dat in [7].

One may also consider the twisted version arising from the theory of twisted endoscopy. The recent work of Henniart and Lemaire [11] established the duality between the cocenter and the representations in the twisted version.

Date: November 22, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E50, 20C08.

Key words and phrases. Hecke algebras, p-adic groups, cocenter.

X. H. was partially supported by NSF DMS-1463852.

0.2. The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the structure of the cocenter of the Hecke algebra H. Here we consider both the ordinary and the twisted cocenter, and the Hecke algebra we consider is not an algebra of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions, but its integral form, i.e., the algebra of $\mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}]$-valued functions instead. The structure of the integral form helps us to understand not only the ordinary representations of p-adic groups, but the mod-l representations as well. It will be used to study the relation between the cocenter and the mod-l representations in a future joint work with Ciubotaru [5].

Note that invariant distributions on G are linear function on the cocenter of H. Thus knowledge on the structure of $\bar{H}$ will also help us to understand the invariant distributions. We will discuss some application in this direction later in this paper.

0.3. For the group algebra of a finite group, the structure of the cocenter is very simple: it has a standard basis indexed by the set of conjugacy classes.

The main difference between the Hecke algebra of a connected reductive p-adic group and the group algebra of a finite group comes from the two conditions in the definition of Hecke algebra:

- "Locally constant" condition, which means that in the cocenter, one can not separate a single conjugacy class from the others. This suggests that we should seek for a decomposition of the p-adic group G into open subsets, each of which is a union of (ordinary or twisted) conjugacy classes.
- "Compactly support" condition, which suggests that the sought-after open subset should be of the form $G \cdot X$, where $\cdot$ is the conjugation action and X is an open compact subset of G.

0.4. In this paper, we introduce the decomposition of G into Newton strata, which satisfy the desired properties mentioned above.

Theorem A (see Theorem 2.1)**.** *We have the Newton decomposition*

$$G = \sqcup_\nu G(\nu).$$

Here each Newton stratum $G(\nu)$ is of the form $G \cdot X_\nu$, where X_ν is an open compact subset of G.

Let us provide some background on the Newton strata. We may realize G as $G(\breve{F})^\sigma$, where σ is the Frobenius morphism. The σ-twisted conjugacy classes of $G(\breve{F})$ are classified by Kottwitz in [20] and [21], in terms of the Newton points together with the image under the Kottwitz map. For split groups, by taking the intersection of G with σ-twisted conjugacy classes of $G(\breve{F})$, we obtain a decomposition of G. The situation is more subtle if the group is not quasi-split, as the Newton map of G does not coincide with the Newton map of $G(\breve{F})$. The difficulty is overcome by the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation which we discuss later in §0.6.

For any Newton point ν, let $H(\nu)$ be the subspace of H consisting of functions supported in $G(\nu)$ and $\bar{H}(\nu)$ be its image in $\bar{H}$. We obtain the desired decompositions for H and $\bar{H}$.

Theorem B (see Theorem 3.1)**.** *We have the Newton decomposition for the Hecke algebra H and its cocenter $\bar{H}$:*

$$H = \oplus_\nu H(\nu), \qquad \bar{H} = \oplus_\nu \bar{H}(\nu).$$

0.5. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an open compact subgroup of G and $H(G,\mathcal{K})$ be the Hecke algebra of compactly supported, $\mathcal{K}$-biinvariant functions on G. We have $H = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{K}} H(G,\mathcal{K})$. To understand the representations of G, we need to understand not only the structure of the cocenter of H, but the structure of the cocenter of $H(G,\mathcal{K})$ as well. Unfortunately, for any given $\mathcal{K}$, $H(G,\mathcal{K})$ does not have the Newton decomposition as the Newton strata of G are not stable under the left/right action of $\mathcal{K}$. However, we show that

Theorem C (see Theorem 4.1)**.** *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a congruent subgroup of the Iwahori subgroup. Then*

(1) The cocenter of $H(G,\mathcal{K})$ has the desired Newton decomposition

$$\bar{H}(G,\mathcal{K}) = \oplus_\nu \bar{H}(G,\mathcal{K};\nu).$$

(2) For each ν, any element in the Newton component $\bar{H}(G,\mathcal{K};\nu)$ is represented by a function of $H(G,\mathcal{K})$ that is supported in the open compact subset X_ν.

This is the main result of this paper. The two key ingredients of the proof are

- Newton decomposition on G, H and $\bar{H}$ that we discussed above.
- Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation that we are going to discuss in §0.6.

0.6. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an Iwahori subgroup of G and $\tilde{W}$ be the Iwahori-Weyl group. Then we have the decomposition $G = \sqcup_{w\in\tilde{W}} \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$. It is known that the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H(G,\mathcal{I})$ has the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation with basis given by $\{T_w\}_{w\in\tilde{W}}$, where T_w is the characteristic function on $\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$.

In the joint work with Nie, we discovered that the cocenter $\bar{H}(G,\mathcal{I})$ has a standard basis $\{T_w\}$, where w runs over minimal length representatives of conjugacy classes of $\tilde{W}$. This is the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of the cocenter of Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H(G,\mathcal{I})$.

Now come back to our general situation $H(G,\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is a congruent subgroup of $\mathcal{I}$. We define $X_\nu = \cup_w \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$, where $w \in \tilde{W}$ runs over the minimal length elements in the conjugacy class associated to the given Newton point ν. We show that X_ν is the sought-after open compact subset in Theorem A and Theorem C. The proof is based on

- Some remarkable properties on the minimal length elements established in [15].
- The compatibility between the reduction method on $H(G,\mathcal{K})$ in §4 and the reduction method on G introduced in [12].

0.7. Now we discuss some applications.

In [16], Howe conjectured that for any open compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ and compact subset X of G, the restriction of invariant distributions $J(G\cdot X)$ supported in $G\cdot X$ to $H(G,\mathcal{K})$ is finite dimensional. This conjectured is proved by Clozel [6] over p-adic fields and later by Barbasch and Moy [1] over any nonarchimedean local fields. The twisted invariant distribution has not been much studied yet.

Howe's conjecture plays a fundamental role in the harmonic analysis of p-adic groups (see e.g. [10] and [8]). It will also play a crucial role in the future joint work with Ciubotaru [5] in the proof of trace Paley-Wiener theorem for mod-l representations of p-adic groups.

In Section 5, we give a different proof of the Howe's conjecture, which is valid for both the ordinary and the twisted invariant distributions. Note that $G \cdot X$ is contained in a finite union of $G(\nu)$ and the ordinary/twisted invariant distributions supported in $G(\nu)$ are linear functions on $\bar{H}(\nu)$. It is also easy to see that for any given Newton point ν, there are only finitely many minimal length elements w associated to it. Now Howe's conjecture follows from the Newton decomposition of $\bar{H}(G, \mathcal{K})$ and the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of $\bar{H}(G, \mathcal{K}; \nu)$.

Theorem D (see Theorem 5.1)**.** *For any open compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ and compact subset X of G,*

$$\dim J(G \cdot X) \mid_{H(G,\mathcal{K})} < \infty.$$

0.8. As another application, we describe the structure of the rigid cocenter in terms of generators and relations.

As discussed in §0.1, the cocenter is "dual" to the representations. Based on the Newton decomposition, we may decompose the whole cocenter into the rigid part and non-rigid part. The rigid cocenters of various Levi subgroups form the "building blocks" of the whole cocenter.

In Section 6, we give an explicit description of the structure of the rigid cocenter. Roughly speaking, the rigid cocenter is generated by the cocenter of Hecke algebras of parahoric subgroups $\mathcal{P}$, and the relation is given by the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, x)$-graphs (see §6.4 for the precise definition). The proof is based on the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of the cocenter and Howe's conjecture.

It is shown in [4] that the rigid cocenter of affine Hecke algebras plays a crucial in the study of representations of affine Hecke algebras. We expect that the rigid cocenter of the Hecke algebra H plays a similar role in the study of ordinary and mod-l representations of p-adic groups.

0.9. **Acknowledgment.** The study of the cocenter of Hecke algebras of p-adic groups began after Dan Ciubotaru and I finished the paper [4] on the rigid cocenter of affine Hecke algebras. I would like to thank him for many enjoyable discussions on the cocenter project. I also would like to thank him for drawing my attention to Howe's conjecture and for many useful comments on the preliminary version of this paper. I also would like to thank Thomas Haines, George Lusztig and Sian Nie for useful discussions and comments.

1. Preliminary

1.1. Let F be a nonarchimedean local field of arbitrary characteristic. Let $\mathcal{O}_F$ be its valuation ring and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ be its residue field. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a connected reductive group over F and $G = \mathbb{G}(F)$. Fix a maximal F-split torus A. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the apartment corresponding to A. Fix an alcove $\mathfrak{a}_C \subset \mathscr{A}$, and denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the associated Iwahori subgroup.

Let Z be the centralizer of A and $N_G A$ be the normalizer of A. Denote by $W_0 = N_G A(F)/Z(F)$ the relative Weyl group. The *Iwahori-Weyl group* $\tilde{W}$ is defined to be $\tilde{W} = N_G A(F)/Z_0$, where Z_0 is the unique parahoric subgroup of

$Z(F)$. The group $\tilde{W}$ acts on $\mathscr{A}$ by affine transformations as described in [24, §1]. Let G_0 be the subgroup of G generated by all parahoric subgroups, and define $N_0A = G_0 \cap N_GA(F)$. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ be the set of simple reflections at the walls of $\mathfrak{a}_C$. By Bruhat and Tits [3, Prop. 5.2.12], the quadruple $(G_0, \mathcal{I}, N_0A, \tilde{\mathbb{S}})$ is a (double) Tits system with affine Weyl group $W_a = N_0A/N_0A \cap \mathcal{I}$. We have a semidirect product

$$\tilde{W} = W_a \rtimes \Omega,$$

where Ω is the stabilizer of the alcove $\mathfrak{a}_C$ in $\tilde{W}$. Thus $\tilde{W}$ is a quasi-Coxeter system and is equipped with a Bruhat order $\leq$ and a length function ℓ.

For any $K \subset \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$, let W_K be the subgroup of $\tilde{W}$ generated by $s \in K$. Let ${}^K\tilde{W}$ be the set of elements $w \in \tilde{W}$ of minimal length in the cosets $W_K w$.

Set $V = X_*(Z)_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. By choosing a special vertex of $\mathfrak{a}_C$, we may identify $\mathscr{A}$ with the underline affine space of V and

$$\tilde{W} \cong X_*(Z)_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)} \rtimes W_0 = \{t^\lambda w; \lambda \in X_*(Z)_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}, w \in W_0\}.$$

1.2. Let $\mathcal{I}'$ be the pro-p Iwahori subgroup of G. Following [25, Chapter I, §2], we define a $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-valued Haar measure μ_G by

$$\mu_G(\mathcal{K}, \mu) = \frac{[\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{I}']}{[\mathcal{I}' : \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{I}']}, \quad \text{for any open compact subgroup } \mathcal{K} \text{ of } G.$$

Note that $[\mathcal{I}' : \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{I}']$ is a power of p. Thus $\mu_G(\mathcal{K}, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ for all $\mathcal{K}$.

Let $H = H(G)$ be the space of locally constant, compactly supported $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-valued functions on G. For any open compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ of G, let $H(G, \mathcal{K})$ be the space of compactly supported, $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$-invariant $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-valued functions on G. Then for $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathcal{K}$, we have a natural embedding $H(G, \mathcal{K}) \hookrightarrow H(G, \mathcal{K}')$ and

$$H = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{K}} H(G, \mathcal{K}).$$

Note that for any open compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}$, $H(G, \mathcal{K})$ has a canonical $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-basis $\{\delta_{\mathcal{K}K g\mathcal{K}}; g \in \mathcal{K}\backslash G/\mathcal{K}\}$, where $\delta_{\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K}}$ is the characteristic function on $\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K}$.

The space H is equipped with a natural convolution product

$$ff'(g) = \int_G f(x)f'(x^{-1}g)d\mu, \quad \text{for } f, f' \in H, g \in G.$$

It can be described in a more explicit way as follows.

(a) Let X, Y be open compact subsets of G and $\mathcal{K}$ be an open compact pro-p subgroup of G such that $\mathcal{K}X = X$ and $Y\mathcal{K} = Y$. Then

$$\delta_X \delta_Y = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{K}\backslash XY/\mathcal{K}} \frac{\mu_G(p^{-1}(\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K}))}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K})} \delta_{\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K}},$$

where $p : X \times Y \to XY$ is the multiplication map.

Since the volume of each double coset of $\mathcal{K}$ is a power of p, $\frac{\mu_G(p^{-1}(\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K}))}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K})} \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$.

1.3. Let R be a commutative $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-algebra and $H_R = H_R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} R$. We define the twisted action of G on H_R as follows. Let θ be an automorphism of G that stabilizes A and $\mathcal{I}$. We denote the induced affine transformation on V and the length-preserving automorphism on $\tilde{W}$ still by θ. We assume furthermore that the actions of θ on V and on $\tilde{W}$ are both of finite order. Let ω be a character of G, i.e., a homomorphism from G to $R^\times$ whose kernel is an open subgroup of G. We define the (θ, ω)-twisted G-action on H_R by

$$^x f(g) = \omega(x) f(x^{-1} g \theta(x)) \qquad \text{for } f \in H_R, x, g \in G.$$

We define the (θ, ω)-twisted commutator of H_R as follows.

Notice that H_R is generated by δ_X, where X is an open compact subset of G such that $\omega \mid_X$ is constant. Let $[H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega}$ be the R-submodule of H_R spanned by

$$[\delta_X, \delta_{X'}]_{\theta,\omega} = \delta_X \delta_{X'} - \omega(X)^{-1} \delta_{X'} \delta_{\theta(X)},$$

where X, X' are open subsets of G such that $\omega \mid_X$ is constant.

Proposition 1.1. *The R-submodule $[H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega}$ of H_R equals the R-submodule of H_R spanned by $f - {}^x f$, where $f \in H_R$ and $x \in G$.*

Remark 1.2. The untwisted case is stated in [19, Proof of Lemma 3.1].

Proof. We first show that $f - {}^x f \in [H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega}$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a θ-stable open compact pro-p subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ of G such that f is left $\mathcal{K}$-invariant and $\omega(\mathcal{K}) = 1$. We may write f as a linear combination of $\delta_{\mathcal{K}g}$ for $g \in G$. We have ${}^x \delta_{\mathcal{K}g} = \omega(x) \delta_{x\mathcal{K}g\theta(x)^{-1}}$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(x)\delta_{x\mathcal{K}g\theta(x)^{-1}} &= \frac{\omega(x)}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})} \delta_{x\mathcal{K}} \delta_{\mathcal{K}g\theta(x)^{-1}} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})} \delta_{\mathcal{K}g\theta(x)^{-1}} \delta_{\theta(x)\mathcal{K}} \\ &= \frac{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K})} \delta_{\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K}} \mod [H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, by taking $x = 1$, we have $\delta_{\mathcal{K}g} \equiv \frac{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K})} \delta_{\mathcal{K}g\mathcal{K}} \mod [H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega}$. Hence $\delta_{\mathcal{K}g} \equiv {}^x \delta_{\mathcal{K}g} \mod [H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega}$.

Now let X, X' be open subsets of G such that $\omega \mid_X$ is constant. We show that $[\delta_X, \delta_{X'}]_{\theta,\omega}$ lies in the span of $f - {}^x f$.

We choose a θ-stable open compact pro-p subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ such that X is left $\mathcal{K}$-invariant, X' is right $\mathcal{K}$-invariant and $\omega(\mathcal{K}) = 1$. We may write δ_X as a sum of $\delta_{\mathcal{K}g}$ and write $\delta_{X'}$ as a sum of $\delta_{g'\mathcal{K}}$. Then $[\delta_X, \delta_{X'}]_{\theta,\omega} = \sum_{g,g'} [\delta_{\mathcal{K}g}, \delta_{g'\mathcal{K}}]_{\theta,\omega}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}[\delta_{\mathcal{K}g}, \delta_{g'\mathcal{K}}]_{\theta,\omega} &= \delta_{\mathcal{K}g}\delta_{g'\mathcal{K}} - \omega(g)^{-1}\delta_{g'\mathcal{K}}\delta_{\mathcal{K}\theta(g)} \\ &= \frac{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})^2}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K}gg'\mathcal{K})}\delta_{\mathcal{K}gg'\mathcal{K}} - \omega(g)^{-1}\mu_G(K)\delta_{g'\mathcal{K}\theta(g)} \\ &= \frac{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})^2}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K}gg'\mathcal{K})}(\delta_{\mathcal{K}gg'\mathcal{K}} - \frac{\omega(g)^{-1}\mu_G(\mathcal{K}gg'\mathcal{K})}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})}\delta_{g'\mathcal{K}\theta(g)}).\end{aligned}$$

Set $n = \frac{\mu_G(\mathcal{K}gg'\mathcal{K})}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})}$. We have that $\delta_{\mathcal{K}gg'\mathcal{K}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{k_i gg'\mathcal{K}}$ for some $k_i \in \mathcal{K}$. Note that $(k_i g)^{-1}(k_i gg'\mathcal{K})\theta(k_i g) = g'\mathcal{K}\theta(k_i)\theta(g) = g'\mathcal{K}\theta(g)$ and $\omega(k_i g) = \omega(g)$. Thus

$\omega(g)^{-1}\delta_{g'\mathcal{K}\theta(g)} = {}^{(k_ig)^{-1}}\delta_{k_igg'\mathcal{K}}$ and

$$[\delta_{\mathcal{K}g}, \delta_{g'\mathcal{K}}]_{\theta,\omega} = \frac{\mu_G(\mathcal{K})^2}{\mu_G(\mathcal{K}gg'\mathcal{K})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\delta_{k_igg'\mathcal{K}} - {}^{(k_ig)^{-1}}\delta_{k_igg'\mathcal{K}}).$$

The proposition is proved. □

1.4. Let $\bar{H}_R = H_R/[H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega}$. This is the (θ,ω)-twisted cocenter of H_R. The distribution on G are the R-valued linear functions on H_R. We say that a distribution is (θ,ω)-invariant if it vanishes on $f - {}^x f$ for all $f \in H_R$ and $x \in G$. In other words, the twisted action of G on H_R induces a twisted action of G on the set of distributions via ${}^x j(f) = j({}^{x^{-1}} f)$ for any distribution j, $f \in H_R$ and $x \in G$. A distribution j is (θ,ω)-invariant if and only if $j = {}^x j$ for any $x \in G$. We denote by $J(G) = \bar{H}_R^*$ the set of all (θ,ω)-invariant distributions on G.

2. Newton decomposition of G

2.1. The θ-twisted conjugation action on $\tilde{W}$ is defined by $w \cdot_\theta w' = ww'\theta(w)^{-1}$. Let $\mathrm{cl}_\theta(\tilde{W})$ be the set of θ-twisted conjugacy classes of $\tilde{W}$. Since the action of θ on V is of finite order. Each θ-orbit on Ω is a finite set. Therefore

(a) Each θ-twisted conjugacy class of $\tilde{W}$ intersects only finitely many W_a cosets.

Following [15], we define two arithmetic invariants on $\mathrm{cl}_\theta(\tilde{W})$.

Note that each θ-twisted conjugacy class of $\tilde{W}$ lies in a single θ-orbit on the cosets $\tilde{W}/W_a \cong \Omega$. Let Ω_θ be the set of θ-coinvariants of Ω. The projection map $\tilde{W} \to \Omega_\theta$ factors through $\mathrm{cl}_\theta(\tilde{W})$. The induced map $\kappa : \mathrm{cl}_\theta(\tilde{W}) \to \Omega_\theta$ gives one invariant.

Recall that $\tilde{W} = X_*(Z)_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)} \rtimes W_0$. We regard θ as an element in the group $\tilde{W} \rtimes \langle\theta\rangle$ and we extend the length function ℓ on $\tilde{W}$ to $\tilde{W} \rtimes \langle\theta\rangle$ by requiring that $\ell(\theta) = 0$. For any $w \in \tilde{W}$, there exists a positive integer n such that $(w\theta)^n = t^\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in X_*(Z)_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$. We set $\nu_w = \lambda/n \in V$ and call it the Newton point of w. It is easy to see that ν_w is independent of the choice of the power n. Let $\bar{\nu}_w$ be the unique dominant element in the W_0-orbit of ν_w. The map $w \mapsto \bar{\nu}_w$ is constant on each conjugacy class of $\tilde{W}$. This gives another invariant.

Let V_+ be the set of dominant elements in V. Set $\aleph = \Omega_\theta \times V_+$. We have a map

$$\pi = (\kappa, \bar{\nu}) : \mathrm{cl}_\theta(\tilde{W}) \longrightarrow \aleph.$$

2.2. Let $\tilde{W}_{\min}$ be the subset of $\tilde{W}$ consisting of elements of minimal length in their θ-twisted conjugacy classes of $\tilde{W}$. For any $\nu = (\tau, v) \in \aleph$, we set

$$X_\nu = \cup_{w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}; \pi(w) = \nu} \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I} \quad \text{and} \quad G(\nu) = G \cdot_\theta X_\nu.$$

Here $\cdot_\theta$ means the θ-twisted conjugation action of G defined by $g \cdot_\theta g' = gg'\theta(g)^{-1}$. We call $G(\nu)$ the *Newton stratum* of G corresponding to ν.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 2.1. *We have the Newton decomposition*

$$G = \bigsqcup_{\nu \in \aleph} G(\nu).$$

The proof is based on some remarkable combinatorial properties of the minimal length elements of $\tilde{W}$ established in [15] and the reduction method in [12].

2.3. We follow [15]. For $w, w' \in \tilde{W}$ and $s \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$, we write $w \xrightarrow{s}_\theta w'$ if $w' = sw\theta(s)$ and $\ell(w') \leqslant \ell(w)$. We write $w \to_\theta w'$ if there is a sequence $w = w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_n = w'$ of elements in $\tilde{W}$ such that for any k, $w_{k-1} \xrightarrow{s}_\theta w_k$ for some $s \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$. We write $w \approx_\theta w'$ if $w \to_\theta w'$ and $w' \to_\theta w$. It is easy to see that if $w \to_\theta w'$ and $\ell(w) = \ell(w')$, then $w \approx_\theta w'$.

The following result is proved in [15, Theorem A].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $w \in \tilde{W}$. Then there exists an element $w' \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$ with $w \to_\theta w'$.*

2.4. Now we recall the reduction method in [12].

Let $w \in \tilde{W}$ and $s \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$. We have an explicit formula on the multiplication of Bruhat cells

$$\mathcal{I}\dot{s}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}\dot{s}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}, & \text{if } sw > w; \\ \mathcal{I}\dot{s}\dot{w}\mathcal{I} \sqcup \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}, & \text{if } sw < w. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}\dot{s}\mathcal{I} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\dot{s}\mathcal{I}, & \text{if } ws > w; \\ \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\dot{s}\mathcal{I} \sqcup \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}, & \text{if } ws < w. \end{cases}$$

We have the following simple but very useful properties:

(1) $G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I} = G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}'\mathcal{I}$ if $w \approx_\theta w'$;

(2) $G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I} = G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{s}\dot{w}\mathcal{I} \cup G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{s}\dot{w}\theta(\dot{s})\mathcal{I}$ for $s \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ with $sws < w$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a compact subset of G. Then there exists a finite subset $\{\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_k\}$ of $\aleph$ such that*

$$X \subset \cup_i G(\nu_i).$$

Proof. Since any compact subset of G is contained in a finite union of $\mathcal{I}$-double cosets, it suffices to prove the statement for $\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$ for any $w \in \tilde{W}$.

We argue by induction on $\ell(w)$.

If $w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$, the statement is obvious. If $w \notin \tilde{W}_{\min}$, then by Theorem 2.2, there exists $w' \in \tilde{W}$ and $s \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ such that $w \approx_\theta w'$ and $sw'\theta(s) < w'$. Then by §2.4,

$$G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I} = G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}'\mathcal{I} \subset G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{s}\dot{w}'\theta(\dot{s})\mathcal{I} \cup G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{s}\dot{w}'\mathcal{I}.$$

Note that $\ell(sw'), \ell(sw'\theta(s)) < \ell(w)$, the statement for w follows from inductive hypothesis on sw' and on $sw'\theta(s)$. □

2.5. Since $G = \sqcup_{w \in \tilde{W}} \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$, by Proposition 2.3, we have $G = \cup_{\nu \in \aleph} G(\nu)$. In order to show that $\cup_{\nu \in \aleph} G(\nu)$ is a disjoint union, we use some properties on the straight conjugacy classes of $\tilde{W}$.

By definition, an element $w \in \tilde{W}$ is *θ-straight* if $\ell((w\theta)^k) = k\ell(w)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A θ-twisted conjugacy class is *straight* if it contains a θ-straight element. It is easy to see that the θ-straight elements in a given straight θ-twisted conjugacy class $\mathcal{O}$ are exactly the minimal length elements in $\mathcal{O}$.

Let $\mathrm{cl}_\theta(\tilde{W})_{str}$ be the set of straight conjugacy classes. It is proved in [15, Theorem 3.3] that

Theorem 2.4. *The map $\pi : cl_\theta(\tilde{W}) \to \aleph$ induces a bijection between $cl_\theta(\tilde{W})_{str}$ and $Im(\pi)$.*

In other words, we have a well-defined map $\mathrm{cl}_\theta(\tilde{W}) \to \mathrm{cl}_\theta(\tilde{W})_{str}$ which sends a conjugacy class $\mathcal{O}$ of $\tilde{W}$ to the unique straight conjugacy class in $\pi^{-1}(\pi(\mathcal{O}))$. It is proved in [15, Proposition 2.7] that this map is "compatible" with the length function in the following sense.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{O} \in cl_\theta(\tilde{W})$ and $\mathcal{O}'$ be the associated straight conjugacy class. Then for any $w \in \mathcal{O}$, there exists a triple (x, K, u) with $w \to_\theta ux$, where x is a straight element in $\mathcal{O}'$, K is a subset of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ such that W_K is finite, $x \in {}^K\tilde{W}$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(x)\theta(K) = K$, and $u \in W_K$.*

Remark 2.6. We call (x, K, u) a *standard triple* associated to w. By Theorem 2.5 and §2.4 (1), we have the following alternative definition of Newton stratum

$$G(\nu) = \cup_{(x,K,u) \text{ is a standard triple}; ux \in \tilde{W}_{\min}, \pi(x)=\nu} G \cdot \mathcal{I}\dot{u}\dot{x}\mathcal{I}.$$

Corollary 2.7. *For any $\nu \in \aleph$, there are only finitely many $w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$ with $\pi(w) = \nu$. In particular, each fiber of the map $\pi : cl_\theta(\tilde{W}) \to \aleph$ is finite.*

Proof. Let $\nu \in Im(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{O}'$ be the associated straight conjugacy class. Let l be the length of any straight element in $\mathcal{O}'$. Note that there are only finitely many $K \subset \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$. In particular,

(a) $\max\{\ell(u); u \in W_K \text{ for some } K \subset \tilde{\mathbb{S}} \text{ with } W_K \text{ finite}\}$ is finite.

We denote this number by n. Then by Theorem 2.5, for any $w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$ with $\pi(w) = \nu$, we have $\ell(w) \leqslant l + n$. By 2.1 (a), $\mathcal{O}'$ intersects only finitely many W_a cosets and hence any element $w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$ with $\pi(w) = \nu$ is contained in one of those cosets. Since in a given coset of W_a, there are only finitely many elements of a given length, there are only finitely many such w. □

2.6. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We have shown that $G = \cup_{\nu \in \aleph} G(\nu)$. It remains to show that it is a disjoint union.

Let $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2$ be elements in $\aleph$. It is easy to see that if ν_1 and ν_2 have different Ω_θ-factor, then $G(\nu_1) \cap G(\nu_2) = \emptyset$. Now assume that ν_1 and ν_2 have the same Ω_θ-factor. Then they have different V-factor.

Suppose that $G(\nu_1) \cap G(\nu_2) \neq \emptyset$. Then by definition, there exists $w_1, w_2 \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$ with $\pi(w_i) = \nu_i$ and that $G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}_1\mathcal{I} \cap G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}_2\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 2.5, there exists standard triples (x_i, K_i, u_i) associated to w_i for $i = 1, 2$. Since $w_i \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$, we have $w_i \approx_\theta u_i x_i$. By §2.4 (1), $G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}_i\mathcal{I} = G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{u}_i\dot{x}_i\mathcal{I}$. Hence

$$G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{u}_1\dot{x}_1\mathcal{I} \cap G \cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{u}_2\dot{x}_2\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $h_i \in \mathcal{I}\dot{u}_i\dot{x}_i\mathcal{I}$ and $g \in G$ with $gh_1\theta(g)^{-1} = h_2$. By our assumption on x_i and K_i, we have for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(a)\quad (\mathcal{I}\dot{u}_i\dot{x}_i\mathcal{I})\theta(\mathcal{I}\dot{u}_i\dot{x}_i\mathcal{I})\cdots\theta^{n-1}(\mathcal{I}\dot{u}_i\dot{x}_i\mathcal{I}) &\subset (\cup_{w\in W_{K_i}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I}\dot{x}_i\theta(\dot{x}_i)\cdots\theta^{n-1}(\dot{x}_i)\mathcal{I})\\ &= (\mathcal{I}\dot{x}_i\theta(\dot{x}_i)\cdots\theta^{n-1}(\dot{x}_i)\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_i}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $h_i\theta(h_i)\cdots\theta^{n-1}(h_i) \in (\mathcal{I}\dot{x}_i\theta(\dot{x}_i)\cdots\theta^{n-1}(\dot{x}_i)\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_i}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})$.

Let n_0 be a positive integer such that $(x_i\theta)^{n_0} = t^{\lambda_i} \in \tilde{W} \rtimes \langle\theta\rangle$ for some $\lambda_i \in X_*(Z)_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$. Since the V-factor of ν_1 and ν_2 are different, λ_1 is not in the W_0-orbit of λ_2. For any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$gh_1\theta(h_1)\cdots\theta^{n_0l-1}(h_1)\theta^{n_0l}(g)^{-1} = h_2\theta(h_2)\cdots\theta^{n_0l-1}(h_2).$$

Hence

$$g(\mathcal{I}t^{l\lambda_1}\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_1}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})\theta^{n_0 l}(g)^{-1}\cap(\mathcal{I}t^{l\lambda_2}\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_2}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})\neq\emptyset$$

and thus

$$g(\mathcal{I}t^{l\lambda_1}\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_1}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})\theta^{n_0 l}(g)^{-1}(\cup_{w\in W_{K_2}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})\cap(\mathcal{I}t^{l\lambda_2}\mathcal{I})\neq\emptyset.$$

We have that $g\in\mathcal{I}\dot{z}\mathcal{I}$ for some $z\in\tilde{W}$. Then for any $l\in\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(\mathcal{I}\dot{z}\mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I}t^{l\lambda_1}\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_1}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I}\theta^{n_0 l}(\dot{z})^{-1}\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_2}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})\cap\mathcal{I}t^{l\lambda_2}\mathcal{I}\neq\emptyset.$$

Let $N_0=\max_{w\in W_{K_1}}\ell(w)+\ell(z)+\max_{w\in W_{K_2}}\ell(w)$. Then

$$\mathcal{I}\dot{z}\mathcal{I},(\cup_{w\in W_{K_1}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I}\theta^{nl}(\dot{z})^{-1}\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_2}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})\subset\cup_{y\in W_a;\ell(y)\leqslant N_0}\mathcal{I}\dot{y}\mathcal{I}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{I}\dot{z}\mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I}t^{l\lambda_1}\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_1}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I}\theta^{nl}(\dot{z})^{-1}\mathcal{I})(\cup_{w\in W_{K_2}}\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I})\subset\cup_{y,y'\in W_a;\ell(y),\ell(y')\leqslant N_0}\mathcal{I}\dot{y}\dot{t}^{l\lambda_1}\dot{y}'\mathcal{I}.$$

In particular, for $l=2N_0+2\sharp(W_0)+1$, there exists $y,y'\in W_a$ with $\ell(y),\ell(y')\leqslant N_0$ such that $t^{l\lambda_2}=yt^{l\lambda_1}y'$. Assume that $y=y_0t^{\chi}$ and $y'=t^{\chi'}y_0'$ for $y_0,y_0'\in W_0$ and $\chi,\chi'\in X_*(Z)_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$. Then $y_0t^{\chi+l\lambda_1+\chi'}y_0'=t^{l\lambda_2}$. Hence $l\lambda_2=y_0(\chi+l\lambda_1+\chi')$ and $l(\lambda_2-y_0\lambda_1)=y_0(\chi+\chi')$. Notice that $\lambda_2-y_0\lambda_1\neq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(t^{y_0(\chi+\chi')})=\ell(t^{\chi+\chi'})&\leqslant\ell(t^{\chi})+\ell(t^{\chi'})\leqslant\ell(y)+\ell(y_0)+\ell(y')+\ell(y_0')\\&\leqslant 2N_0+2\sharp(W_0)<l\leqslant\ell(t^{l(\lambda_2-y_0\lambda_1)}).\end{aligned}$$

That is a contradiction.

3. Newton decompositions of H_R and $\bar{H}_R$

3.1. Recall that $G=\sqcup_{\nu\in\aleph}G(\nu)$. For $\nu\in\aleph$, let $H_R(\nu)$ be the R-submodule of H_R consisting of functions supported in $G(\nu)$ and let $\bar{H}_R(\nu)$ be the image of $H_R(\nu)$ in the cocenter $\bar{H}_R$. We first establish the Newton decompositions of H_R and $\bar{H}_R$.

Theorem 3.1. *We have that*
(1) $H_R=\bigoplus_{\nu\in\aleph}H_R(\nu)$.
(2) $\bar{H}_R=\bigoplus_{\nu\in\aleph}\bar{H}_R(\nu)$.

3.2. A key ingredient in the proof is the admissibility of Newton strata.

Following Grothendieck, a subset X of G is called *admissible* if for any open compact subset C of G, $X\cap C$ is stable under the right multiplication of an open compact subgroup of G. We show that

Theorem 3.2. *For any $\nu\in\aleph$, $G(\nu)$ is admissible.*

Remark 3.3. The proof is similar to [13, Theorem A.1] for the admissibility of σ-conjugacy classes of loop groups.

Proof. For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{I}_n$ be the n-th Moy-Prasad subgroup associated to the barycenter of $\mathfrak{a}_C$ (see [22]). It suffices to prove that for any given $w\in\tilde{W}$, there exists $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $G(\nu)\cap\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$ is stable under the right multiplication of $\mathcal{I}_n$.

We argue by induction on w. If $w\in\tilde{W}_{\min}$, then $G(\nu)\cap\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}=\emptyset$ unless $\pi(w)=\nu$. In the latter case, $\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}\subset G(\nu)$ and it is stable under the right multiplication of $\mathcal{I}$.

Now suppose that $w \notin \tilde{W}_{\min}$ and that for any $w' \in \tilde{W}$ with $\kappa(w') = \kappa(w)$ and $\ell(w') < \ell(w)$, $G(\nu) \cap \mathcal{I}\dot{w}'\mathcal{I}$ is stable under the right multiplication of an open compact subgroup of G. Since there are only finitely many such w', we may assume that all those intersections are stable under the right multiplication of $\mathcal{I}_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Theorem 2.2, there exists a finite sequence $w = w_0 \xrightarrow{s_1}_\theta w_1 \xrightarrow{s_2}_\theta \cdots \xrightarrow{s_k}_\theta w_k$ with $\ell(w_1) = \ell(w_2) = \cdots = \ell(w_{k-1}) = \ell(w)$ and $w_k = s_k w_{k-1}\theta(s_k) < w_{k-1}$. In particular, $\ell(s_k w_{k-1}), \ell(w_k) < \ell(w)$.

For any $g \in \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$, there exists $h \in \mathcal{I}\dot{s}_{k-1}\mathcal{I}\cdots\mathcal{I}\dot{s}_1\mathcal{I}$ and $h' \in \mathcal{I}\dot{s}_k\mathcal{I}$ such that $hg\theta(h)^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}\dot{w}_{k-1}\mathcal{I}$ and $h'hg\theta(h)^{-1}\theta(h')^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}\dot{w}_k\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}\dot{s}_k w_{k-1}\mathcal{I}$. If moreover, $g \in G(\nu)$, then $h'hgh^{-1}(h')^{-1} \in (G(\nu)\cap\mathcal{I}\dot{w}_k\mathcal{I}) \cup (G(\nu)\cap\mathcal{I}\dot{s}_k\dot{w}_{k-1}\mathcal{I})$. By inductive hypothesis, $h'hg\theta(h)^{-1}\theta(h')^{-1}\mathcal{I}_n \subset G(\nu)$. Note that $\theta(h')\theta(h) \in \sqcup_{z\in\tilde{W};\ell(z)\leqslant k}\mathcal{I}\dot{z}\mathcal{I}$. Thus $\theta(h')\theta(h)\mathcal{I}_{n+k}\theta(h)^{-1}\theta(h')^{-1} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$. So $h'h(g\mathcal{I}_{n+k})\theta(h)^{-1}\theta(h')^{-1} \subset G(\nu)$ and thus $g\mathcal{I}_{n+k} \subset G(\nu) \cap \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$. □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** (1) Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an open compact subgroup of G and $f \in H_R(G, \mathcal{K})$. Let X be the support of f. Then X is a compact subset of G. By Proposition 2.3, there exists a finite subset $\{\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_k\}$ of $\aleph$ such that $X = \sqcup_i(X \cap G(\nu_i))$. By Theorem 3.2, there exists an open compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}'$ of $\mathcal{K}$ such that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, $X \cap G(\nu_i)$ is stable under the right multiplication of $\mathcal{K}'$. Set $f_i = f\mid_{X\cap G(\nu_i)}$. Since f is invariant under the right action of $\mathcal{K}$, f_i is invariant under the right action of $\mathcal{K}'$. Moreover, the support of f_i is $X \cap G(\nu_i)$, which is a compact subset of G. Thus $f_i \in H_R(\nu_i)$. So $H_R = \sum_{\nu\in\aleph} H_R(\nu)$.

On the other hand, suppose that $f = \sum_\nu f_\nu$, where $f_\nu \in H_R(\nu)$ and only finitely many f_ν's are nonzero. Since $G = \sqcup_\nu G(\nu)$, we have $f_\nu = f\mid_{G(\nu)}$. In particular, f_ν is determined by f. Thus the decomposition $H_R = \sum_{\nu\in\aleph} H_R(\nu)$ is a direct sum decomposition.

(2) Let $f \in H_R$ and $x \in G$. By (1), we may write f as $f = \sum_\nu f_\nu$, where $f_\nu \in H_R(\nu)$. By definition, ${}^x f_\nu \in H_R(\nu)$. Thus $f - {}^x f = \sum_\nu (f_\nu - {}^x f_\nu) \in \oplus_\nu([H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega} \cap H_R(\nu))$. The direct sum decomposition $\bar{H}_R = \oplus_\nu \bar{H}_R(\nu)$ follows from $H_R = \oplus_\nu H_R(\nu)$ and $[H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega} = \oplus_\nu([H_R, H_R]_{\theta,\omega} \cap H_R(\nu))$.

4. Newton decomposition and IM presentation of $\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)$

4.1. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an open compact subgroup of G. Recall that $H_R(G, \mathcal{K})$ is the Hecke algebra of compactly supported, $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$-invariant functions on G. For any $\nu \in \aleph$, we denote by $H_R(G, \mathcal{K}; v)$ the R-submodule of $H_R(G, \mathcal{K})$ consisting of functions supported in $G(\nu)$.

Note that $G(\nu)$ is not stable under the right action of $\mathcal{K}$. Thus

$$H_R(G, \mathcal{K}) \supsetneqq \oplus_\nu H_R(G, \mathcal{K}; \nu).$$

4.2. Recall that $\mathcal{I}_n$ is the n-th Moy-Prasad subgroup associated to the barycenter of $\mathfrak{a}_C$. Since $\mathcal{I}_n$ form a fundamental systems of open compact subgroups of G, we have $H_R = \varinjlim H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)$.

For any $w \in \tilde{W}$, let H_w be the R-submodule of H_R consisting of locally constant functions supported in $\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$. For any $w \in \tilde{W}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_w = H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n) \cap H_w$.

Let $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)$, $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu)$ and $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_w$ be the image of $H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)$, $H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu)$ and $H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_w$ in $\bar{H}_R$, respectively. The main result of this section is the Newton decomposition and the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $\omega(\mathcal{I}_{n-1})=1$. Then*
(1) We have $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)=\bigoplus_{\nu\in\aleph}\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu)$.
(2) For any $\nu\in\aleph$, we have $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu)=\sum_{w\in\tilde{W}_{\min};\pi(w)=\nu}\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_w$.

Since $H_R=\varinjlim H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)$, as a consequence of Theorem 4.1 (2), we have the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of $\bar{H}_R$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\nu\in\aleph$. Then*

$$\bar{H}_R(\nu)=\sum_{w\in\tilde{W}_{\min};\pi(w)=\nu}\bar{H}_w,$$

where $\bar{H}_w$ is the image of H_w in $\bar{H}_R$.

We first establish the following multiplication formula.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $w,w'\in\tilde{W}$ with $\ell(w)+\ell(w')=\ell(ww')$. Then for any $g\in\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$ and $g'\in\mathcal{I}\dot{w}'\mathcal{I}$,*

$$\delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n}\delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g'\mathcal{I}_n}=\mu_G(\mathcal{I}_n)\delta_{\mathcal{I}_n gg'\mathcal{I}_n}.$$

Remark 4.4. This formula was known for GL_n by Howe [17] and for split groups by Ganapathy [9].

4.3. Let $\breve{F}$ be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F with valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\breve{F}}$ and residue field $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$. Let σ be the Frobenius of $\breve{F}$ over F. Set $\breve{G}=\mathbb{G}(\breve{F})$. We denote the Frobenius morphism on $\breve{G}$ again by σ. Then $G=\breve{G}^\sigma$. In order to prove Proposition 4.3, we use some facts on $\breve{G}$.

Let S be a maximal $\breve{F}$-split torus of $\mathbb{G}$ which is defined over F and contains A. Denote by $\breve{\mathscr{A}}$ the apartment corresponding to S over $\breve{F}$. By [3, 5.1.20], we have a natural isomorphism $\mathscr{A}\cong\breve{\mathscr{A}}^\sigma$. Denote by $\breve{\mathfrak{a}}_C$ the unique σ-invariant facet of $\breve{\mathscr{A}}$ containing $\mathfrak{a}_C$ and denote by $\breve{\mathcal{I}}$ the associated Iwahori subgroup over $\breve{F}$. Then $\mathcal{I}=\breve{\mathcal{I}}^\sigma$. Let $\breve{\tilde{W}}$ be the Iwahori-Weyl group over $\breve{F}$ and $\breve{W}_a$ be the associated affine Weyl group. Let $\breve{\ell}$ be the corresponding length function on $\breve{\tilde{W}}$.

We have a natural isomorphism $\tilde{W}\cong\breve{\tilde{W}}^\sigma$. It is proved in [23, Proposition 1.11 & sublemma 1.12] that for $w,w'\in\tilde{W}$, $\breve{\ell}(ww')=\breve{\ell}(w)+\breve{\ell}(w')$ if $\ell(ww')=\ell(w)+\ell(w')$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $g\in G$. Then $(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n)^\sigma=\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n$.*

Proof. We identify $\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n$ with $\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n\cap g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n$ with $\mathcal{I}_n/(\mathcal{I}_n\cap g\mathcal{I}_n g^{-1})$. The natural map $\mathcal{I}_n\to(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n)^\sigma$ has kernel $\mathcal{I}_n\cap g\mathcal{I}_n g^{-1}$ and induces an injective map

$$\mathcal{I}_n/(\mathcal{I}_n\cap g\mathcal{I}_n g^{-1})\longrightarrow(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n\cap g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g^{-1}))^\sigma.$$

Note that $\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n\cap g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g^{-1}$ is a pro-p subgroup of $\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n$. Thus any element in $(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n\cap g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g^{-1}))^\sigma$ can be lifted to an element in $\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n^\sigma=\mathcal{I}_n$. So the map $\mathcal{I}_n/(\mathcal{I}_n\cap g\mathcal{I}_n g^{-1})\to(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/(\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n\cap g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g^{-1}))^\sigma$ is also surjective. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $w \in \tilde{W}$ and $g \in \mathcal{I}w\mathcal{I}$. Then $\sharp\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n = q^{\breve{\ell}(w)}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $g = i\dot{w}i'$. Then $\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n = i\mathcal{I}_n\dot{w}\mathcal{I}_n i'$. It suffices to prove the statement for $g = \dot{w}$.

Let $\breve{\Phi}$ be the set of roots of $\breve{G}$ relative to S and $\breve{\Phi}'$ be the set of non-divisible roots in $\breve{\Phi}$. Let $\breve{\mathscr{R}}$ be the set of affine roots of $\breve{G}$. Let T be the centralizer of S. As in [24, §3.1], for any $a \in \breve{\Phi}'$, there exists $\alpha_a, \beta_a \in \breve{\mathscr{R}}$ whose vector part are a and that the product mapping

$$\Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\alpha_a} \times T_0 \longrightarrow \breve{\mathcal{I}},$$
$$\Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\beta_a} \times T_0 \longrightarrow \breve{\mathcal{I}} \cap g\breve{\mathcal{I}}g^{-1}$$

are bijective (for any ordering of the factors of the product), where X_α is the affine root subgroup corresponding to the affine root α as in [24, §1.2] and T_0 is the unique parahoric subgroup of $T(\breve{F})$.

Hence $\breve{\mathcal{I}}g\breve{\mathcal{I}}/\breve{\mathcal{I}} \cong \Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\alpha_a}/\Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\beta_a}$. By [23, Proof of Proposition 1.11], this is an affine space over $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$ of dimension $\breve{\ell}(w)$.

By the definition of $\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n$, the product mapping

$$\Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\alpha_a+n} \times T_n \longrightarrow \breve{\mathcal{I}}_n,$$
$$\Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\beta_a+n} \times T_n \longrightarrow \breve{\mathcal{I}}_n \cap g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g^{-1}$$

are also bijective. Hence

$$\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n \cong \Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\alpha_a+n}/\Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\beta_a+n} \cong \Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\alpha_a}/\Pi_{a\in\breve{\Phi}'} X_{\beta_a}$$

is also an affine space over $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$ of dimension $\breve{\ell}(w)$.

By Lemma 4.5, $\mathcal{I}g\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I} = (\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n g\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n/\breve{\mathcal{I}}_n)^\sigma$ is the set of $\mathbf{k}$-valued points of an affine space of dimension $\breve{\ell}(w)$. Therefore, $\sharp\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n = q^{\breve{\ell}(w)}$. □

4.4. **Proof of Proposition 4.3.** Define the action of $\mathcal{I}_n$ on $\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n \times \mathcal{I}_n g'\mathcal{I}_n$ by $g\cdot(z,z') = (zg^{-1}, gz')$. Let $\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n \times_{\mathcal{I}_n} \mathcal{I}_n g'\mathcal{I}_n$ be the quotient and the map induced from the multiplication

$$\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n \times_{\mathcal{I}_n} \mathcal{I}_n g'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n \longrightarrow G/\mathcal{I}_n.$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{I}_n gg'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n$ is contained in the image. By Lemma 4.6, $\sharp\mathcal{I}_n gg'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n = q^{\breve{\ell}(ww')}$. On the other hand,

$$\sharp\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n \times_{\mathcal{I}_n} \mathcal{I}_n g'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n = \sharp\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n \cdot \sharp\mathcal{I}_n g'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n = q^{\breve{\ell}(w)} q^{\breve{\ell}(w')}.$$

Since $\ell(ww') = \ell(w)+\ell(w')$, we have $\breve{\ell}(ww') = \breve{\ell}(w)+\breve{\ell}(w')$. Therefore $\sharp\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n \times_{\mathcal{I}_n} \mathcal{I}_n g'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n = \sharp\mathcal{I}_n gg'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n$. Thus the image of the map $\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n \times_{\mathcal{I}_n} \mathcal{I}_n g'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n \to G/\mathcal{I}_n$ is $\mathcal{I}_n gg'\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n$ and the map is bijective. Now the statement follows from §1.2(a).

Similar to §2.4, we have the following inductive result.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\omega(\mathcal{I}_{n-1}) = 1$. Let $w \in \tilde{W}$ and $s \in \mathbb{S}$.*
(1) If $\ell(sw\theta(s)) = \ell(w)$, then $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_w = \bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_{sw\theta(s)}$.
(2) If $sw\theta(s) < w$, then $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_w \subset \bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_{sw\theta(s)} + \bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_{sw}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $sw < w$. By definition, $H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_w$ is spanned by $\delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g \mathcal{I}_n}$ with $g \in \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$. Since $sw < w$, for any $g \in \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$, there exists $g_1 \in \mathcal{I}\dot{s}\mathcal{I}$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{I}\dot{s}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$ with $g = g_1 g_2$. Since $\mathcal{I}_n g_1 \mathcal{I}_n \subset g_1 \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$, we have $\omega\,|_{\mathcal{I}_n g_1 \mathcal{I}_n}$ is constant. By Proposition 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g \mathcal{I}_n} &= \frac{1}{\mu_G(\mathcal{I}_n)} \delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g_1 \mathcal{I}_n} \delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g_2 \mathcal{I}_n} \\ &\equiv \frac{\omega(g_1)^{-1}}{\mu_G(\mathcal{I}_n)} \delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g_2 \mathcal{I}_n} \delta_{\mathcal{I}_n \theta(g_1) \mathcal{I}_n} \mod [H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n), H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)]_{\theta,\omega}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\ell(sw\theta(s)) = \ell(w)$, then $sw\theta(s) > sw$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g_2 \mathcal{I}_n} \delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g_1 \mathcal{I}_n} \in H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_{sw\theta(s)}$. Thus $\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_w \subset \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_{sw\theta(s)}$. Similarly, we have $\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_{sw\theta(s)} \subset \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_w$. Part (1) is proved.

If $sw\theta(s) < w$, then $\delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g_2 \mathcal{I}_n} \delta_{\mathcal{I}_n g_1 \mathcal{I}_n} \subset H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_{sw\theta(s)} + H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_{sw}$. Part (2) is proved. □

4.5. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** By Theorem 3.1, $\sum_\nu \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n; \nu)$ is a direct sum. By definition, for any $w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$, $\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_w \subset \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n; \pi(w))$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n) = \sum_{w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}} \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_w.$$

Since $H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n) = \oplus_{x \in \tilde{W}} H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_x$, we argue by induction on x that

$$\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_x \subset \sum_{w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}} \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_w.$$

If $x \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$, then the statement is obvious.

If $x \notin \tilde{W}_{\min}$, then by Theorem 2.2, there exists $x' \in \tilde{W}$ and $s \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ such that $x \approx_\theta x'$ and $sx'\theta(s) < x'$. Then by Lemma 4.7, we have $\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_x = \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_{x'} \subset \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_{sx'} + \bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)_{sx'\theta(s)}$. Since $\ell(sx'), \ell(sx'\theta(s)) < \ell(x)$, the statement follows from inductive hypothesis on sx' and $sx'\theta(s)$.

4.6. By Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 4.7 (1), the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of $\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n; \nu)$ can be formulated as follows:

(a) Any element in $\bar{H}_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n; \nu)$ can be represented by an element in $H_R(G, \mathcal{I}_n)$ with support in $\cup_{(x,K,u) \text{ is a standard triple}; ux \in \tilde{W}_{\min}, \pi(x) = \nu} \mathcal{I}\dot{u}\dot{x}\mathcal{I}$.

5. Howe's conjecture

5.1. Let X be a compact subset of G. Recall that

$$G \cdot_\theta X = \{gx\theta(g)^{-1}; g \in G, x \in X\}.$$

We denote by $J(G \cdot_\theta X)$ the set of (θ, ω)-invariant distributions of G supported in $G \cdot_\theta X$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an open compact subgroup of G. Howe's conjecture asserts that

Theorem 5.1. *The rank of $J(G \cdot_\theta X)\,|_{H_R(G,\mathcal{K})}$ is finite.*

Remark 5.2. For ordinary invariant distributions, this is proved by Clozel [6], Barbasch and Moy [1]. For twisted invariant distributions, this is a new result. Our approach here is different from both [6] and [1].

5.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\omega(\mathcal{I}_{n-1}) = 1$ and $\nu \in \aleph$. Then $\mathcal{I}_n$ is an open compact subgroup of G and X_ν is a compact subset of G. By definition, the Newton stratum $G(\nu) = G \cdot_\theta X_\nu$. By the Newton decomposition $G = \sqcup_{\nu\in\aleph} G(\nu)$, we have that

$$J(G) = \oplus_{\nu\in\aleph} J(G(\nu)) \text{ and } J(G(\nu)) = \bar{H}_R(\nu)^*.$$

We first consider $J(G(\nu)) \mid_{H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)}$ and give an upper bound of its rank. We will show in §5.3 how the general case in the Howe's conjecture can be reduced to this case.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\nu \in \aleph$. Then there exists a constant $N_\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\omega(\mathcal{I}_{n-1}) = 1$, we have*

$$\operatorname{rank}(J(G(\nu)) \mid_{H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)}) \leqslant N_\nu[\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{I}_n].$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} J(G(\nu)) \mid_{H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)} &= J(G(\nu)) \mid_{\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)} = J(G(\nu)) \mid_{\oplus_{v'\in\aleph}\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;v')} \\ &= J(G(\nu)) \mid_{\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu)} = \bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first and last equality follow from the definition of invariant distributions, the second equality follows from the Newton decomposition $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n) = \oplus_{\nu\in\aleph}\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu)$ (Theorem 4.1 (1)) and the third equality follows from the fact that $G(\nu) \cap G(v') = \emptyset$ for $v \neq v'$ (Theorem 2.1).

By the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of $\bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu)$ (Theorem 4.1 (2)), we have a surjection

$$\oplus_{w\in\tilde{W}_{\min};\pi(w)=\nu} H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_w \twoheadrightarrow \bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu).$$

By Corollary 2.7, there are only finitely many $w \in \tilde{W}_{\min}$ with $\pi(w) = \nu$. We denote this number by N_ν. For each such w and for any $g \in \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$, by Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\sharp(\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_n) = \sharp(\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I})[\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{I}_n] = q^{\check{\ell}(w)}[\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{I}_n], \quad \text{and } \sharp(\mathcal{I}_n g\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{I}_n) = q^{\check{\ell}(w)}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}$ is a union of $[\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{I}_n]$ double cosets of $\mathcal{I}_n$. In particular, $\operatorname{rank} H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_w = [\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{I}_n]$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{rank} \bar{H}_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n;\nu) \leqslant \sum_{w\in\tilde{W}_{\min};\pi(w)=\nu} \operatorname{rank} H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)_w = N_\nu[\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{I}_n]. \quad \square$$

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 5.1.** By Proposition 2.3, X is contained in a finite union of Newton strata $G(\nu)$. Therefore $J(G \cdot_\theta X)$ is a subset of a finite union of $J(G(\nu))$. For any open compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ of G, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega(\mathcal{I}_{n-1}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{I}_n \subset \mathcal{K}$. Hence $H_R(G,\mathcal{K}) \subset H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)$ and $J(G(\nu)) \mid_{H_R(G,\mathcal{K})} \subset J(G(\nu)) \mid_{H_R(G,\mathcal{I}_n)}$. Now the statement follows from Theorem 5.3.

6. Rigid cocenter

6.1. For any $\nu = (\tau, v) \in \aleph$, we denote by M_ν the centralizer of v in G (see [20, §6.1]). This is a Levi subgroup of G.

We define the rigid and non-rigid part of G by

$$G_{\mathrm{rig}} = \sqcup_{\nu\in\aleph;M_\nu=G} G(\nu), \qquad G_{\mathrm{nrig}} = \sqcup_{\nu\in\aleph;M_\nu\neq G} G(\nu).$$

Let H_R^{rig} and H_R^{nrig} be the subset of H_R consisting of functions supported in G_{rig} and G_{nrig}, respectively and let $\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}}$ and $\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{nrig}}$ be their images in $\bar{H}_R$, respectively. We call $\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}}$ the *rigid cocenter* and $\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{nrig}}$ the *non-rigid part of cocenter*. We have

$$\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}} = \oplus_{\nu\in\aleph;M_\nu=G}\bar{H}_R(\nu), \qquad \bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{nrig}} = \oplus_{\nu\in\aleph;M_\nu\neq G}\bar{H}_R(\nu).$$

By the Newton decomposition on $\bar{H}_R$ (Theorem 3.1), we have

$$\bar{H}_R = \bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}} \oplus \bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{nrig}}.$$

We denote by $J(G)_{\mathrm{rig}}$ the set of (θ,ω)-invariant distributions supported in G_{rig} and $J(G)_{\mathrm{nrig}}$ the set of (θ,ω)-invariant distributions supported in G_{nrig}. We have

$$J(G)_{\mathrm{rig}} = (\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})^*, \qquad J(G)_{\mathrm{nrig}} = (\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{nrig}})^* \quad \text{and} \quad J(G) = J(G)_{\mathrm{rig}} \oplus J(G)_{\mathrm{nrig}}.$$

The main purpose of this section is to give an explicit description of the rigid cocenter. In a future work [14], we will establish the Bernstein-Lusztig presentation of the cocenter, and realize the non-rigid cocenter as a direct sum of +-rigid part of cocenters of proper Levi subgroups.

6.2. We first study $J(G)_{\mathrm{rig}}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a standard parahoric subgroup of G, i.e. a parahoric subgroup of G containing $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\tau\in\Omega$. We say that $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$ is a *standard pair* if $\dot{\tau}\theta(\mathcal{P})\dot{\tau}^{-1}=\mathcal{P}$. Let $W_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the (finite) Weyl group of $\mathcal{P}$. Then the conjugation action of τ induces a length-preserving automorphism on $W_{\mathcal{P}}$. We denote by StP the set of all standard pairs.

We have that

Proposition 6.1. *The rigid part of G equals $\cup_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in StP} G\cdot_\theta \mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$ be a standard pair. Since $\tau\in\Omega$, we have $M_{\pi(\tau)}=G$. Since $\dot{\tau}\theta(\mathcal{P})\dot{\tau}^{-1}=\mathcal{P}$, similar to the proof of Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau} = \cup_w \mathcal{P}\cdot_\theta \mathcal{I}\dot{w}\dot{\tau}\mathcal{I},$$

where $w\in W_{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $w\tau$ is of minimal length in $\{xw\tau\theta(x)^{-1}; x\in W_{\mathcal{P}}\}$. Let w be such an element. Then it is easy to see that $\pi(w\tau)=\pi(\tau)$. Moreover, for any $x'\in\tilde{W}$, we may write x' as $x'=x_1x_2$ for $x_1\in\tilde{W}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $x_2\in W_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then $x_2w\tau\theta(x_2)^{-1}\in W_{\mathcal{P}}\tau$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(x_1x_2w\tau\theta(x_2)^{-1}\theta(x_1)^{-1}) &\geqslant \ell(x_1x_2w\tau\theta(x_2)^{-1}) - \ell(x_1)\\ &= \ell(x_1)+\ell(x_2w\tau\theta(x_2)^{-1}) - \ell(x_1)\\ &= \ell(x_2w\tau\theta(x_2)^{-1}) \geqslant \ell(w\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $w\tau\in\tilde{W}_{\min}$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\subset G(\pi(\tau)).$$

On the other hand, let $w\in\tilde{W}_{\min}$ with central Newton point, then by [15, Corollary 2.8], we have $w\in W_{\mathcal{P}}\tau$ for some standard pair $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$, where $W_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}\subset\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$ and $G\cdot_\theta\mathcal{I}\dot{w}\mathcal{I}\subset G\cdot_\theta\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$. Thus

$$G_{\mathrm{rig}} = \cup_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}} G\cdot_\theta\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}. \qquad \square$$

6.3. For any $(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in \mathrm{StP}$, $\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$ is stable under the θ-twisted conjugation action of $\mathcal{P}$. We denote by $J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\tau)$ the set of $(\mathcal{P},\theta,\omega)$-invariant distributions on $\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$, i.e., the set of distributions j on $\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$ such that $j(f)=j({}^{p}f)$ for any $p\in\mathcal{P}$ and $f\in H_R(\mathcal{P})$. Then it is easy to see that the restriction of any (θ,ω)-invariant distribution on G to $\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$ is $(\mathcal{P},\theta,\omega)$-invariant.

Theorem 6.2. *The restriction map $J(G)\to \oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in StP}J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ gives a bijection from $J(G)_{rig}$ to the subset of $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in StP}J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ consisting*
(*)
$$\{(j_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)});\forall(\mathcal{P},\tau),(\mathcal{Q},\gamma),x\in {}^{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{W}^{\mathcal{Q}},(j_{\mathcal{P},\tau}\mid_{\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\cap\dot{x}\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\theta(\dot{x})^{-1}})={}^{\dot{x}}(j_{\mathcal{Q},\gamma}\mid_{\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\cap\dot{x}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\theta(\dot{x})})\}.$$

Proof. For any standard pair $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$, we denote by $H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ the subset of H_R consisting of functions supported in $\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$. By Proposition 6.1, we have a surjection

$$p_{\mathrm{rig}}:\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}}H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})\twoheadrightarrow \bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}}. \tag{a}$$

Therefore the restriction map $j\mapsto (j\mid_{\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}})_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}}$ from $J(G)_{\mathrm{rig}}$ to the direct sum of distributions on $\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$ is injective. It is also easy to see that $j\mid_{\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}}$ is $(\mathcal{P},\theta,\omega)$-invariant. Moreover, for any standard pairs $(\mathcal{P},\tau),(\mathcal{Q},\gamma)$ and $x\in {}^{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}$, the θ-twisted conjugation action by $\dot{x}$ sends $\mathcal{Q}\gamma\cap\dot{x}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\theta(\dot{x})$ to $\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\cap\dot{x}\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\theta(\dot{x})^{-1}$. Thus we have $j\mid_{\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\cap\dot{x}\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\theta(\dot{x})^{-1}}={}^{\dot{x}}(j\mid_{\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\cap\dot{x}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\theta(\dot{x})})$.

It remains to show that given $(j_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)})$ with the condition $(*)$, there exists $j\in J(G)_{\mathrm{rig}}$ such that $j_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)}=j\mid_{\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}}$. We construct j using the sheaf-theoretic description of distributions.

First, we set $j\mid_{G_{\mathrm{nrig}}}=0$. For any $g\in G_{\mathrm{rig}}$, by Proposition 6.1 we may choose a small neighborhood U of g such that $U\subset G_{\mathrm{rig}}$ and that there exists $h\in G$ with $hU\theta(h)^{-1}\subset\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$ for some standard pair $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$. We define

$$j\mid_U={}^{h^{-1}}(j_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)}\mid_{hU\theta(h)^{-1}}).$$

It remains to show that

(b) The family $(j\mid_U)$ we obtained above is independent of the choice of h and $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$.

Once (b) is proved, then we automatically have $(j\mid_U)\mid_{U\cap U'}=(j\mid_{U'})\mid_{U\cap U'}$ for any open subsets U,U' of G_{rig}, and thus the family $(j\mid_U)$ defines a distribution j of G supported in G_{rig}. The (θ,ω)-invariant condition for j follows from the fact that $(j\mid_U)$ is independent of the choice of h.

Now we prove (b). Let U,U' be small neighborhoods of g and $h,h'\in G$ with $hU\theta(h)^{-1}\subset\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}$ and $h'U'\theta(h')^{-1}\subset\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}$. After θ-twisted conjugation, we may and do assume that $h'=1$. Then $U'\subset\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\cap h^{-1}\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\theta(h)\neq\emptyset$.

We write h as $h=p\dot{x}q$ for $p\in\mathcal{P}$, $x\in {}^{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $q\in\mathcal{Q}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{h^{-1}}(j_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)}\mid_{hU\theta(h)^{-1}}) &= {}^{q^{-1}\dot{x}^{-1}}(j_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)}\mid_{\dot{x}qU\theta(\dot{x}q)^{-1}}) \quad \text{as } j_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)} \text{ is } (\mathcal{P},\theta,\omega)\text{-invariant}\\
&= {}^{q^{-1}}(j_{(\mathcal{Q},\gamma)}\mid_{qU\theta(q)^{-1}}) \quad \text{by the condition (*)}\\
&= j_{(\mathcal{Q},\gamma)}\mid_U \qquad \text{as } j_{(\mathcal{Q},\gamma)} \text{ is } (\mathcal{Q},\theta,\omega)\text{-invariant.}
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

6.4. Let $(\mathcal{P},\tau),(\mathcal{Q},\gamma)$ be standard pairs and $x\in {}^{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}$. We define

$$H_{(\mathcal{P},\tau),(\mathcal{Q},\gamma),x}=\{(f,-{}^{\dot{x}}f);f\in H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}) \text{ with support in } \mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\cap\dot{x}\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\theta(\dot{x})^{-1}\}.$$

We call it the $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},x)$-graph in $H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})\oplus H_R(\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma})$.

We have seen in the proof of Theorem 6.2 that $\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is generated by $H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$. Since $\dot{\tau}\theta(\mathcal{P})\dot{\tau}^{-1} = \mathcal{P}$, we have $[H_R(\mathcal{P}), H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})]_{\theta,\omega} \subset H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$. This gives some relations in $\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}}$. Now we show that the remaining relations in $\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}}$ are given by the $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, x)$-graphs.

Theorem 6.3. *The kernel of the surjective map*

$$p_{rig} : \oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in StP} H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}) \twoheadrightarrow \bar{H}_R^{rig}$$

is spanned by $[H_R(\mathcal{P}), H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})]_{\theta,\omega} \subset H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ *and* $H_{(\mathcal{P},\tau),(\mathcal{Q},\gamma),x} \subset H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}) \oplus H_R(\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma})$.

Proof. By definition, $[H_R(\mathcal{P}), H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})]_{\theta,\omega}$ and $H_{(\mathcal{P},\tau),(\mathcal{Q},\gamma),x}$ are contained in the kernel of p_{rig}.

Now we prove the other direction. Let $(f_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)}) \in \oplus H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ with $\sum f_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)} = 0$ in $\bar{H}_R$. Let $\Omega' = \{\tau \in \Omega; f_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)} \neq 0 \text{ for some } \mathcal{P}\}$ and $\Omega_0 = \langle\theta\rangle \cdot \Omega'$ be the smallest θ-stable subset of Ω that contains Ω'. Since the action of θ on Ω is of finite order, Ω_0 is a finite subset of Ω. Let $\mathrm{StP}_0 = \{(\mathcal{P},\tau) \in \mathrm{StP}; \tau \in \Omega_0\}$. As there are only finitely many standard parahoric subgroups, StP_0 is a finite subset of StP. By definition, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)} \in H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}, \mathcal{I}_n)$ for any $(\mathcal{P},\tau) \in \mathrm{StP}_0$. For any standard pair $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$, $H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}, \mathcal{I}_n)$ is finite dimensional. In particular,

(a) The restriction $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}) \mid_{H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n)}$ is finite dimensional.

Let $G^0_{\mathrm{rig}} = \{g \in G_{\mathrm{rig}}; \kappa(g) \in \Omega_0\}$ and $G^1_{\mathrm{rig}} = G_{\mathrm{rig}} - G^0_{\mathrm{rig}}$. Let $J(G)^0_{\mathrm{rig}}$ and $J(G)^1_{\mathrm{rig}}$ be the set of (θ,ω)-invariant distributions supported in G^0_{rig} and G^1_{rig}, respectively. We define $(\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})_0$ and $(\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})_1$ in a similar way. Then we have

$$J(G)_{\mathrm{rig}} = J(G)^0_{\mathrm{rig}} \oplus J(G)^1_{\mathrm{rig}}, \bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}} = (\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})_0 \oplus (\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})_1, \text{ and } J(G)^0_{\mathrm{rig}} = (\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})_0^*.$$

Since Ω_0 is θ-stable, for any standard pair $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$, $\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau} \subset G^0_{\mathrm{rig}}$ if $(P,\tau) \in \mathrm{StP}_0$ and $P\dot{\tau} \subset G^1_{\mathrm{rig}}$ if $(\mathcal{P},\tau) \notin \mathrm{StP}_0$. Thus the image of $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}) \to \bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}}$ equals $(\bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})_0$ and the restriction map $J(G)^0_{\mathrm{rig}} \to J(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ equals 0 for any $(\mathcal{P},\tau) \notin \mathrm{StP}_0$.

By Theorem 6.2 and (a) above, the map $J(G)^0_{\mathrm{rig}} \to \oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ is injective and there exists a finite subset A of the 5-tuples $(\mathcal{P},\tau,\mathcal{Q},\gamma,x)$ with $(\mathcal{P},\tau),(\mathcal{Q},\gamma) \in \mathrm{StP}_0$ and $x \in {}^{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Im}(J(G)_{\mathrm{rig}} \longrightarrow \oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}) \mid_{H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n)}) = V \mid_{\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n)},$$

where V is the subspace of $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ is defined by the equations

$$\text{(b)} \qquad (j_{\mathcal{P},\tau} \mid_{\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\cap\dot{x}\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\theta(\dot{x})^{-1}}) = {}^{\dot{x}}(j_{\mathcal{Q},\gamma} \mid_{\mathcal{Q}\dot{\gamma}\cap\dot{x}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}\theta(\dot{x})}) \text{ for } (\mathcal{P},\tau,\mathcal{Q},\gamma,x) \in A.$$

Therefore $V \mid_{\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n)} = \mathrm{Im}(\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n) \to \bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})^*$. Since both spaces are finite dimensional, the kernel of the map $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n) \to \bar{H}_R^{\mathrm{rig}})$ are exactly the elements vanishing on V.

Note that for any standard pair $(\mathcal{P},\tau)$, $J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau}) = (H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})/[H_R(\mathcal{P}), H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})]_{\theta,\omega})^*$. So the elements of $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n)$ vanishing on $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ are exactly $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0}[H_R(\mathcal{P}), H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})]_{\theta,\omega} \cap H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n)$.

The subspace V of $\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0} J_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})$ is defined by the equations in (b). Taking the dual, we see that the elements vanishing on V are spanned by

$$\oplus_{(\mathcal{P},\tau)\in\mathrm{StP}_0}[H_R(\mathcal{P}), H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau})]_{\theta,\omega} \cap H_R(\mathcal{P}\dot{\tau},\mathcal{I}_n) \text{ and } \sum_{(\mathcal{P},\tau,\mathcal{Q},\gamma,x)\in A} H_{(\mathcal{P},\tau),(\mathcal{Q},\gamma),x}. \quad \square$$

References

[1] D. Barbasch and A. Moy, *A new proof of the Howe conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), no. 3, 639–650.

[2] J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, D. Kazhdan, *Trace Paley-Wiener theorem for reductive p-adic groups*, J. d'Analyse Math. **47** (1986), 180–192.

[3] F. Bruhat and J. Tits: *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local:II. Schéma en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 60 (1984), 197-376.

[4] D. Ciubotaru and X. He, *Cocenters and representations of affine Hecke algebra*, arXiv:1409.0902, to appear in JEMS.

[5] D. Ciubotaru and X. He, *Cocenters of p-adic groups, III: Elliptic cocenter and rigid cocenter*, in preparation.

[6] L. Clozel, *Orbital integrals on p-adic groups: a proof of the Howe conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 129 (1989), no. 2, 237–251.

[7] J.-F. Dat, *On the K_0 of a p-adic group*, Invent. Math. **140** (2000), no. 1, 171–226.

[8] S. DeBacker, *Lectures on harmonic analysis for reductive p-adic groups*. Representations of real and p-adic groups, 47–94, Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap., 2, Singapore Univ. Press, Singapore, 2004.

[9] R. Ganapathy, *The local Langlands correspondence for GSp_4 over local function fields*, Amer. J. Math. 137 (2015), 1441–1534.

[10] Harish-Chandra, *Admissible invariant distributions on reductive p-adic groups*, Preface and notes by S. DeBacker and P. Sally, University Lecture Series, vol. 16, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[11] G. Henniart and B. Lemaire, *La transformée de Fourier pour les espaces tordus sur un groupe réductif p-adique*, to appear in Astérisque (2015), HAL Id: hal-01312238, `https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01312238`.

[12] X. He, *Geometric and homological properties of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, Ann. Math. 179 (2014), 367–404.

[13] X. He, *Kottwitz-Rapoport conjecture on unions of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, arXiv:1408.5838, to appear in Ann. Sci. Ècole Norm. Sup.

[14] X. He, *Cocenters of p-adic groups, II: inclusion map*, preprint.

[15] X. He and S. Nie, *Minimal length elements of extended affine Weyl group*, Compos. Math. 150 (2014), 1903–1927.

[16] R. Howe, *Two conjectures about reductive p-adic groups*, Proc. of A.M.S. Symposia in Pure Math. XXVI (1973), 377–380.

[17] R. Howe, *Harish-Chandra homomorphisms for p-adic groups*, volume 59 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1985.

[18] D. Kazhdan, *Cuspidal geometry of p-adic groups*, J. Analyse Math. **47** (1986), 1–36.

[19] D. Kazhdan, *Representations groups over close local fields*, J. Anal. Math. 47 (1986) 175–179.

[20] R. Kottwitz, *Isocrystals with additional structure*, Compositio Math. **56** (1985), 201–220.

[21] R. Kottwitz, *Isocrystals with additional structure. II*, Compositio Math. **109** (1997), 255–339.

[22] A. Moy, G. Prasad, *Unrefined minimal K-types for p-adic groups* Invent. Math. 116 (1994), 393–408.

[23] T. Richarz, *On the Iwahori-Weyl group*, arXiv:1310.4635.

[24] J. Tits, *Reductive groups over local fields*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 29–69.

[25] M.-F. Vignéras, *Représentations l-modulaires d'un groupe réductif p-adique avec $l \neq p$*, Progr. Math. 137, Birkhäuser, Boston 1996.

Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
and Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540
E-mail address: xuhuahe@math.umd.edu